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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The plan for this study was to anatomise the effect of alternative surface treatments to enhance bonding to zirconia. Materials and Methods: forty discs of zirconia (Prettau, zircon zhan, Italy) were prepared using Isomet 4000 precision Saw then discs were divided into 5 groups. Group 1, control; Group 2, zirconia discs were treated with 50-mm Al2O3 particles; Group 3, zirconia discs were received hand grinding; Group 4, zirconia discs were treated by a CO2 laser and Group 5, zirconia discs were treated using ERYAG laser. Composite resin discs were constructed and cemented to zirconia samples with panavia cement. For the bond strength test, a universal testing machine was used. Results: the highest shear bond strength was recorded for (Hand grinding) group (2) while the lowest mean value was recorded for control group (1). Conclusions: Treatment of Zirconia Surfaces with hand grinding or sandblasting increased shear bond strength. Treatment of Zirconia with CO2 and ERYAG lasers increased shear bond strength of zirconia, with the CO2 laser being higher than ERYAG laser.

INTRODUCTION

Ceramic restorations are most qualified esthetically restorations currently available. All Ceramics divided micro-structurally into 4 categories: Group 1- Glass based systems (mainly silica with sodium and potassium alumino silicates). Croup 2- Glass based systems with crystalline fillers (IPS Empress II, IPS E-max). Croup 3- Crystalline
based systems with glass fillers (In-Ceram). Group 4-Polycrystalline solids. Zirconia based ceramics are the newly developed. To give high quality and improved style, zirconium oxide has been utilized as a center material; porcelain is then melded to the external surface. Zirconium oxide has been appeared to be more translucent than metal substructures when ceramic is fused to the external surface.

However, a typical issue with veneered zirconium oxide contrasted with metal-ceramic crowns is increased crack rate, potentially caused by difference in coefficients of thermal expansion. To diminish the veneering fracture, manufacturers have recently marketed monolithic zirconia restorations. Resin cement are the material of decision due to high physical properties, low solubility & high wear resistance and closure of margin. The life time of indirect restoration depends on cement adequacy between dental tissues and Resin cement.

Based on the treatment of tooth structure, resin cements are total-etch resin cements, self-etch resin cement, and self-adhesive resin cements. Self-adhesive resin cements can bond to tooth surface without using bonding adhesive.

For the most part, zirconia restorations do not form a proper bond to tooth structure and acid etching technique cannot cause topographic changes on zirconia ceramic surface. Evidence shows that good bond to zirconia ceramics is obtained by cement material containing phosphate monomer in its composition. Surface treatment is done using techniques, for example, surface grinding, air abrasion with \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) or silicate particles, selective infiltration etching and recently, irradiation of lasers, for example, Carbon dioxide, Erbium:YAG and neodymium:YAG for zirconia ceramic. The plan for this study was to estimate the effect of alternative surface treatments to enhance bonding to zirconia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

At the present study, Forty discs of Prettau zirconia (6mm in diameter, 3 mm thickness) were prepared using Isomet 4000 precision Saw(Isomet 4000, Buehler,USA). The obtained discs were then sintered in HTF(Wholesale sintering furnace, DS-1700MX, Mainland, China) at 1600 °C for eight hours including cooling. During this process a 3-dimensional volumetric shrinkage of the milled discs of approximately 20% took place that is why the discs were milled approximately 20% larger. The dimensions of the discs after shrinkage were 6mm in diameter,3mm in thickness. 5 groups (n=8) were constructed according to surface treatment.

**Types of different surface treatment:**

**Group 1:** Control group.

**Group 2:** Sandblasting was completed to eight zirconia discs using 50 µm Aluminum oxide particles using an airborne particle-abrasive device (Renfert,Germany). The discs were mounted at a distance of 10 mm in a metallic holder. Sandblasting was done for 20 sec, with 3 bar pressure.

**Group 3:** Samples were ground using diamond rotary cutting instrument in a high-speed hand piece for 10 sec in one direction movements under water irrigation. The grinding speed was 150000 rpm.

**Group 4:** Samples were treated by CO\(_2\) laser at zero distant. Wave length was 10.6 um and delivered through an articulating arm. The laser power was 3-watt Power for 10 seconds, energy density of 265.39J/cm\(^2\), pulse duration of 160 ms).

**Group 5:** Samples were treated by ER-YAG laser at zero distant. Each disc was irradiated with 2W output power, energy density of 200 mJ, pulse duration of 50 µs. The zirconia disc area was laser lased with water irrigation and air-cooling for 10 seconds.

**Composite discs construction:**

In order to standardize the shape and size of the samples, a specially designed Teflon mold is used for this purpose. Layers of composite resin were incrementally pressed into the mold and were cured for 30 seconds at a distance 1mm utilizing a light polymerizing unit (Astralis 3; Ivoclar AG, Schaan FL, Liechtenstein, Targis quick, 230V,50-60Hz,100VA). Forty composite discs were prepared.
Cementation of the samples:

One drop of ED Primer II Liquid A and B were mixed on mixing pad. The mixture was utilized within 5 minutes after mixing. Composite discs were Painted with ED Primer II utilizing micro brush and left for 30 seconds. Excess ED Primer II Liquid was removed by blown air. The cementation of the composite discs to the zirconia samples was done PANAVIA F2.0 cement. The same amounts of paste A and B were applied on the mixing pad and mixing for twenty sec into homogenous paste and applied on the surface of samples using plastic spatula.

Cementation Procedures:

The disc of composite was seated above the opposing zirconia disc. To ensure standardization of the load applied during cementation procedure and the direction of the load, a specially designed cementing device was used. Each surface of the disc was cured for 20 seconds.

Thermal cycling:

All specimens were thermo cycled so as to mimic the thermal change in oral condition. All discs were repeatedly soaked in a deionized water bath of 5°C and 55°C with dwell times 25 s in each water bath and a lag time 10 s. Using a thermal cycle device (Robota automated thermal cycle; BILGE, Turkey) for 3000 cycles then the shear strength values of specimens were estimated.

Shear Bond Strength test:

Shear test was designed to evaluate the bond strength. The load required for de bonding was recorded in Newton. The load at failure was divided by bonding area to express the bond strength in Mpa:

\[ \tau = \frac{P}{\pi r^2} \]

where: \( \tau \) = shear bond strength (MPa), \( P \) = load at failure(N)
\( \pi = 3.14 \) and \( r \) = radius of resin disc (mm)

Evaluation of mode of failure:

All the fractured samples were evaluated using digital stereomicroscope (Digital microscope, Guangadong, China) and photographed with a built-in camera (Carl Zeiss, Aalen, Germany) which is connected to an IBM compatible computer.

Scanning Electron Microscope Examination:

To estimate the effect of surface treatment on the surface of zirconia, four additional samples for each group were prepared for SEM Using SEM Model Quanta 250 FEG.

Statistical analysis of the shear bond strength:

The collected data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 19, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Significance was adopted at \( p<0.05 \).

RESULTS

It was discovered that the highest shear bond strength mean value was recorded for (Hand grinding) group (3), followed by (Sandblasting) group (2), (CO\(_2\) laser) group (4) and (ER-YAG Laser) group (5). While the lowest mean value was recorded for control group (1) (4.803MPa), table(1) & figure(1).

Comparison of Shear bond strength between different surface treatments:

It was discovered that the highest shear bond strength mean value was recorded for (Hand grinding) group (3) (17.075MPa), followed by (Sandblasting) group (2) (14.852MPa) then (CO\(_2\)laser) group (4) (11.742MPa). While the lowest mean value was recorded for (ER-YAG Laser) group (5) (4.1536MPa). The difference between different surface treatment groups was statistically significant as revealed with one-way ANOVA test (\( p<0.05 \)). Student T Test showed that there was no significant difference between hand grinding and sandblasting (air abrasion) \( P=0.526 \). There was a significant difference between laser type I and laser type II (ERY-AG) \( P=0.000 \). Table (2)
Table (1): Shear bond strength results (Mean values ±SDs) as function of zirconia surface treatments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Different surface treatments</th>
<th>No. of discs</th>
<th>Shear bond strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (Control group)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.197-6.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (sand blasting)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.950-23.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (hand grinding)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.132-30.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (CO₂ laser)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.540-15.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant (P<0.05)

Table (2): Comparison of Shear bond strength (MPa) between differently treated zirconia samples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface treatments</th>
<th>No. of discs</th>
<th>Shear bond strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (hand grinding)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.132-30.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (air abrasion)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.950-23.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (CO₂ laser)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.540-15.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant (P<0.05)

Mode of failure analysis:

Failure types were noted as cohesive, adhesive, or mixed failure among all the surface treated groups.

1. Cohesive failure: failure within the cement layer.
2. Adhesive failure: failure between the zirconia and resin cement
3. Mixed failure: combination of the previous two types
Scanning electron microscope analysis for each group:

The SEM image of the untreated zirconia surface showed smooth surface without any morphologic changes.

SEM examination of the sandblasting group showed rough and numerous micro-porosities with shallow pits.

SEM of the Hand grinding group showed numerous parallel scratches introduced by grinding tool were clearly visible, increasing the surface area and roughness compared with control samples (Figure 2).

SEM For CO2 laser surface treatment, showed a rough surface, globules with microcracks. Irregularities on the zirconia surface (Figure 2).

SEM For ER-YAG group, showed roughness, irregularities and numerous micro-porosities on the surface of zirconia without microcracks.

Figure (1) SE photomicrograph, demonstrating zirconia surface after being treated with Hand grinding(A) and CO2 laser (B).

DISCUSSION

Y-TZP have been suggested for oral restoration. Its properties, for example, high mechanical strength, flexural resistance, and long-term stability make zirconia ceramics suitable for esthetic crowns, bridges. Zirconia ceramics have high mechanical properties. Flexural strength of zirconia is 900-1200 MPa. Fracture resistance higher than 2,000 N. The utilization of zirconia material in this study is because the high strength and fracture toughness of zirconia arise from the partially stabilized structure of zirconia (6-9).

Clinical success of the restoration strongly depends on the cementation (10). Cementation of Zirconia to teeth either by conventional cements or resin cements. Due to good marginal seal, high retention and high fracture resistance of resin cements making them better (11). Using resin cement with short crowns, large amount of tooth would be preserved (12).

In this study composite discs were used instead of tooth tissue, where the micro-structural variations of tooth tissues, which could result in ambiguous results, were dodged (13). Dual-cured resin cement has been used to allow total polymerization of the resin agent even at areas which curing not reach (14,15). Panavia cement which has 10-MDP has been used (16,17). MDP have chemical reaction with zirconia (18). Clinical success of ceramics rely upon proper adhesion to dental cements, which decrease micro leakage, increase retention, and increase fracture resistance (19,20).
Zirconia composed of many crystals without glass so Zirconia cannot be treated by acid etching. Along these lines, airborne abrasion, grinding could be used to treat Y-TZP and as of late, irradiation of lasers such as CO\textsubscript{2} and ER: YAG for Y-TZP ceramic\textsuperscript{(5,6)}. Thermocycling was made to simulate thermal changes that happen orally\textsuperscript{(21)}. Discs were oppressed to 3000 cycles between 5 and 55°C\textsuperscript{(22)}. In vitro bond strength tests, such as tensile or shear testing, depend on the application of a load to produce stress in the specimens until fracture occurs. The shear bond strength test was used, due to being quick and simple to perform\textsuperscript{(23-26)\textsuperscript{23}}. Five groups were prepared; the samples received no treatment showed the least shear bond strength because unmodified ceramic surface produced a smooth and shallow porous surface. This weak bond strength was reflected in the pattern of failure of this group which showed adhesive failure. In the group received hand grinding, zirconia ceramic surface preparation with diamond bur yielded the highest bond strength. Roughening with diamond bur was done at high speed to prevent hand piece vibration since this may cause cracks at the ceramic margins\textsuperscript{(27,28)}. The result of the present study was supported by a previous study which found that surface roughening by diamond bur yielded the highest bond strength\textsuperscript{(29)}. Another study stated that grinding of the ceramic surface yielded a rougher surface than air abrasion and consequently resulted in higher bond strength\textsuperscript{(30)}. This explained why hand grinding recorded higher bond strength than air abrasion. A previous study discussed that rougher surfaces had higher surface free energy and provided a larger bonding area\textsuperscript{(31)}. Bur preparation creates retentive grooves along which resin cement flow into these grooves and increase the bond strength\textsuperscript{(6)}. As opposed to the consequences of this study, a previous study concluded that no difference in shear bond strength between control group and the using of grinding tool. They clarified that there was no difference in surface topography and the resulted roughness\textsuperscript{(32)}. In the group received sandblasting, sandblasting with alumina was found to give good results with resin cement. In this study sandblasting for the zirconia discs was carried out using 50 \(\mu\)m Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} using an airborne particle-abrasive device. The discs were mounted at a distance of 10 mm in a metallic holder between the blasting tip and the surface of the sample. Sandblasting was done for 20 sec, with 3 bar pressure. This was carried out in accordance to many authors\textsuperscript{(8,33-35)}. It was assumed that 50 \(\mu\)m aluminum oxide abrasive particles produced the highest roughness needed to improve the bonding. Also, sandblasting is considered a gentle procedure which less material is removed from the surface. The increase of bond strength is due to increase in micro roughness of sandblasted zirconia surface\textsuperscript{(36)}. With a rougher surface, surface area, surface energy, surface wettability and flowing of resin cement in to micro retention areas increases so a stronger micromechanical interlock can be achieved\textsuperscript{(37)}. The consequence of our study was supported by a past study which presumed that the surface treatment of zirconia by sandblast resulted in increasing surface irregularities\textsuperscript{(33)}. Also, in other studies they found that sand blasting increased the bond strength\textsuperscript{(38)}. Another study stated that air abrasion creates surface roughening and increases bond strength\textsuperscript{(39,40)}. Also, in a previous study it was found that treating surface of zirconia with 50 \(\mu\)mAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} resulted in high shear bond strength due to increase surface roughness and undercuts\textsuperscript{(41)}. However, in contrast to the results of this study, a previous study stated that air-abrasion did not increase bond strength, although the surface became rougher than the control group, most likely because of different grain size, or different pressure used in the study\textsuperscript{(42)}. 
Another method of zirconia surface treatment was laser type I (CO\textsubscript{2}). The CO\textsubscript{2} was utilized as proposed by numerous authors as anew surface treatment method\textsuperscript{(5,34,43)}. Selection of CO\textsubscript{2} laser type was based on past finding\textsuperscript{(44,45)} which reported that CO\textsubscript{2} laser revealed distinct surface alteration to zirconia surface, because wavelength of CO\textsubscript{2} laser is absorbed by the ceramic\textsuperscript{(46)}. During heat induction of ceramic surfaces, conchoidal tears appear. These tears provide mechanical retention. The parameters of the CO\textsubscript{2} laser were selected according to past studies\textsuperscript{(44,45)}.

It was found that the utilization of CO\textsubscript{2} laser has a positive influence on shear bond strength (SBS). These results may be due to irregularities and the surface cracks on the surface of zirconia lead to increase penetration of resin\textsuperscript{(47)}. This morphological change increased the bond strength. Microcracks occurred facilitate the penetration of resin cement and improve adhesion\textsuperscript{(44)}.

The area of roughness showed on CO\textsubscript{2} laser treated samples due to laser energy discharge that caused surface change in form of pores caused by material removal by the laser. Increasing temperature result in melting of the ceramic surface and microcracks. Expansion of surface during melting and contraction during solidification occur. This stress due to temperature can cause superficial cracks\textsuperscript{(48)}.

The consequences of our study were in harmony with previous studies which concluded that CO\textsubscript{2} laser irradiation is effective method for treating zirconia surfaces. Laser is suggested as a new technique for treatment of zirconia\textsuperscript{(5,44,45)}. In contrast to these results a previous study recorded that smooth and non-retentive surface was observed. but the results of these studies may be due to the different laser parameters used\textsuperscript{(12)}.

In our study, another method of Zirconia surface treatment was laser type II (ER: YAG laser). Selection of Erbium YAG laser type in our present study was depend on previous studies\textsuperscript{(33,49,44)}, who revealed that ER: YAG laser create micromechanical retention through roughening the surface of ceramics. In this study, an energy intensity of 200 mJ was selected following past studies who concluded that higher laser power result in melting, loss of material, and cracks. 200 mJ power was found to cause less melting\textsuperscript{(50,51)}.

It was found that the use of Erbium: YAG laser increase shear bond strength due irregularities formation. Erbium: YAG laser increased roughness and increased the bond strength\textsuperscript{(46)}. past studies found that ER: YAG irradiation creates a rough surface\textsuperscript{(36,49,50)}. The result of our study was supported by a previous study which concluded that the 200 mJ/pulse Erbium: YAG irradiation increased the bond strength and produced a rough surface\textsuperscript{(47)}. Another author reported that 150 mJ, 10 Hz, 1 W and long pulse for 20 seconds ER: YAG laser irradiation on zirconia material increased the surface roughness\textsuperscript{(52)}.

In compare, different studies post that Erbium: YAG irradiation does not increase bond strength\textsuperscript{(51,53)}. Another author stated that 200mJ/pulse, 10Hz for 5sec Erbium: YAG laser irradiation decreased the bond strength\textsuperscript{(8)}. Another study stated that irregularities and erosions created by ER: YAG laser have insufficient micromechanical retention and result in limited penetration of the resin\textsuperscript{(56)}.

Other study explained that ER: YAG laser irradiation causes micro-explosions and creates debris that can strongly bond to ceramic surfaces and resin cements. This layer may weakly bond to the underlying surfaces and decrease the bond strength\textsuperscript{(6)}.

Treatment of zirconia with Carbon dioxide and ERYAG lasers increased bond strength, but Carbon dioxide laser higher than ERYAG laser due to better absorption of CO\textsubscript{2} by the surface of zirconia\textsuperscript{(44)}. This supported by a previous study which reported that CO\textsubscript{2} laser resulted in higher shear bond strength, when compared to ER: YAG laser\textsuperscript{(47)}.
CONCLUSIONS

1. Treatment of Zirconia Surfaces with wet hand grinding or sandblasting increased shear bond strength.

2. Treatment of zirconia ceramic surfaces with Carbon dioxide and ERYAG lasers increased shear bond strength, with the CO₂ laser being higher than ERYAG laser.
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